

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/638,393	393 08/12/2003		Ming-Hsiung Yeh	P-6144	5466
32042	7590	06/19/2006	·	EXAMINER	
PATTON I 8484 WEST			GAKH, YELENA G		
SUITE 900	I AICK DI	dvL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN,	VA 2210)2		1743	
				DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				4				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>_</i>				
		10/638,393	YEH ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	1743					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	is				
WHIO - Exte after - If NO - Fails Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some theoretical process of the property o	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repn. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Ily be timely filed Is from the mailing date of this community NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	12 August 2003.						
·		This action is non-final.						
3)	,—							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	miner.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, ,,,	•	` '				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stag	je				
	see the attached detailed Office action for a	nation the certified copies not re	ceiveu.					
Attachmen	ut(s)							
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No(s)/	Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152))				

Application/Control Number: 10/638,393 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, drawn to a composition for detecting oxygen, classified in class 427, subclass 2.11.
- II. Claims 19-21, drawn to a method for detecting the oxygen content, classified in class 436, subclass 136.
- III. Claims 22-26, drawn to a method of making the composition of claim 1, classified in class 427, subclass 213.3.
- IV. Claims 27-28, drawn to a method of screening the cellular metabolic effect, classified in class 436, subclass 63.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II, IV and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used in complexation reactions involving oxygen compounds.

Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by spraying a core with a hydrophobic coating.

Inventions III and II, IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operation and effects. While inventions of Groups II and IV are directed to different applications of the product recited in claim 1, invention of Group II is

Application/Control Number: 10/638,393

Art Unit: 1743

directed to its making, which comprises completely different mode of operations and provides different effect.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. A telephone call was made to Scott W. Cummings on 06/01/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

3. Examiner's comment: the claims directed to Groups II and IV will not be considered on merits unless they will be amended to recite active steps of the method. Right now they are considered to be "use claims".

Application/Control Number: 10/638,393 Page 4

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1257. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

6/14/06

YELENA GAKH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Yelve Hale